arXiv:1402.3060v2 [hep-th] 19 Feb 2014

On Composite fields approach to Gribov copies elimination in Yang-Mills theories*

Alexander A. Reshetnyak†
Laboratory of Computer-Aided Design of Materials, Institute of Strength Physics and Materials Science, 634021 Tomsk, Russia,
Tomsk State Pedagogical University, 634061 Tomsk, Russia

Abstract

We suggest a method of introducing the Gribov–Zwanziger horizon functional, H, for Yang–Mills theories by using the composite fields technique: $\sigma(\phi) = H$. A different form of the same horizon functional in gauges χ and χ' is taken into account via (gauged) field-dependent BRST transformations connecting quantum Yang–Mills actions in these gauges. We introduce generating functionals of Green's functions with composite fields and derive the corresponding Ward identities. A study of gauge dependence shows that the effective action in Yang–Mills theories with the composite field H does not depend on the gauge on the extremals determined by the Yang–Mills fields ϕ alone.

1 Introduction

The concept of BRST symmetry, expressing gauge invariance via a special one-parameter global supersymmetry [1], is a crucial instrument in the quantum description of a gauge theory in view of the fact that the known fundamental interactions are described in terms of Yang–Mills type gauge theories [2]. This concept underlies the success of perturbative calculations at high energies and the numerical study in lattice gauge theory [3], [4]. It also provides a strong evidence for the interaction of quarks and gluons, correctly described by a non-Abelian gauge theory known as QCD.

The problem of gauge-fixing with the help of a differential condition (like the Coulomb or Landau gauges), as it was first shown by V. Gribov [5] and then studied by I. Singer [6] in Yang–Mills (YM) theories, cannot be correctly realized within the Faddeev–Popov (FP) procedure [7], even perturbatively, for the entire spectrum of the momenta distribution for gauge fields, A^a_μ, in the deep IR region, due to an infinitely large number of discrete gauge copies, emerging outside the so-called first Gribov region, $\Omega(A)$. A solution of this problem can be found by an introduction to the quantum action, constructed by the Faddeev–Popov procedure, of a special horizon functional, $H(A)$ [8], [9], known as the Gribov–Zwanziger (GZ) theory, which was constructed only for the Landau gauge and is not BRST-invariant.

*Talk presented at SQS'13, 29 July 03 August, 2013, at JINR, Dubna, Russia

†reshet@ispms.tsc.ru

Until recently, the study of the complete GZ theory has been carried out almost entirely within the Landau gauge (see, e.g., [10] and the references therein), whereas a restriction to $\Omega(A)$ in the path integral has been made for YM theories in the approximation being quadratic in the fields and using the covariant [11] and maximal Abelian gauges [12]. At the same time, there exists a significant arbitrariness in the choice of admissible gauges, which is partially related to the choice of a reference frame, see, e.g., [13]. Thus, it is well known that Green's functions depend on the choice of a gauge; however, this dependence has a special structure, such that it should be absent in physical quantities like the S-matrix. The contemporary study of gauge-dependence and unitarity in the Lorentz-covariant quantum description of gauge models is based on BRST symmetry. Therefore, any violation of BRST invariance may result in a gauge-dependent and non-unitary S-matrix. A consideration of the first problem within the concept of *soft BRST symmetry breaking* in YM and general gauge theories [14], [15], within the BV quantization scheme [17], has revealed a requirement for a special transformation of the gauge variation, δM, for the BRST symmetry breaking term, M, in order to provide the gauge-independence of the effective action (EA) on the mass shell. Recently, it was shown [18] that this requirement for δM is always fulfilled within a class of general gauge theory with *soft breaking of BRST symmetry* based on the concept of field-dependent BRST symmetry transformations, introduced for YM theories in [19] to relate the quantum FP action given in a fixed gauge to the one in different gauge, and used to determine the GZ horizon functional for the GZ theory in the R_ξ-family of the gauges. This result solves the problem of gauge-independence for gauge models with soft BRST symmetry breaking, provided that a model in any fixed gauge reference frame, in addition to the quantum BV action, is supplied by a functional M whose form also changes in another gauge by the rule suggested in [14], [15]. However, unitarity for YM theories, studied in [16], has met with an obstacle for the resulting quantum theory with such an introduction of the soft BRST symmetry breaking term. In this work, we intend to propose a different method of introducing the GZ horizon functional, $H(A)$, into the path integral of YM theories: on the basis of composite fields [20]. [21], [22]. Thus, our purpose is to introduce soft BRST symmetry breaking terms into the FP quantum action by using composite fields, and then, to obtain the Ward identities for YM theories with composite fields and investigate the problem of gauge dependence.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we remind the key issues of the soft BRST symmetry breaking in YM theories and derive the Ward identity for the EA, which provides a basic result involving the variation of the EA under a variation of the gauge fermion. In Section 3 we apply this result to the GZ theory. The use of the composite field technique for an incorporation of the Gribov horizon functional, $H(A)$, into the path integral and derivation of the Ward identities, together with a description of the gauge-dependence problem, is considered in Section 4.

2 Soft BRST Symmetry Breaking in Yang-Mills Theories

The (extended by antifields ϕ^*_A) generating functional of Green's functions, $Z_M = Z_M(J, \phi^*)$, for a YM theory with a soft BRST symmetry breaking term, $M = M(\phi, \phi^*)$, $[M(\phi, 0) = m(\phi)]$ is determined, along the lines of [14], by a path integral, depending on sources J_A for a total set of fields, ϕ^A, complete with the classical (gauge) A^a_μ, ghost C^a, antighost $\overline{C}^a$,

Nakanishi–Lautrup B^a fields, for $a = 1, \ldots, \dim SU(N)$, $\mu = 0, 1, \ldots, D-1$ in DeWitt's condensed notations and those of Ref. [14], as follows:

$$Z_M \;\; = \;\; \int d\phi \exp\Big\{\frac{\imath}{\hbar}\big(S_0(A) + s\psi(\phi) + \phi^*_A s\phi^A + M + J_A\phi^A\big)\Big\}. \tag{1}$$

Here, a classical action, $S_0(A)$, invariant under gauge transformations, $\delta A^a_\mu = D^{ab}_\mu \xi^b$, with a YM covariant derivative, D^{ab}_μ, completely antisymmetric $SU(N)$ structure constants f^{abc} and arbitrary functions ξ^b in Minkowski space-time, $R^{1,D-1}$, a nilpotent Slavnov variation, s, and a gauge fermion, ψ, are given by the relations,

$$S_0(A) = -\frac{1}{4}\int d^Dx \; F^a_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu a} \;\; \texttt{for} \;\; [D^{ab}_\mu, F^a_{\mu\nu}] = [\delta^{ab}\partial_\mu + f^{acb}A^c_\mu, \partial_{[\mu}A^a_{\nu]} + f^{abc}A^b_\mu A^c_\nu] \tag{2}$$

$$sF(\phi, \phi^*) = \frac{\delta F}{\delta\phi^A}s\phi^A, \qquad s\phi^A = (D^{ab}_\mu C^b, \tfrac{1}{2}f^{abc}C^bC^c, B^a, 0), \tag{3}$$

$$\psi(\phi) = \overline{C}^a\chi^a(A, B) \;\; \texttt{for the gauge} \;\; \chi^a(A, B) = \partial^\mu A^a_\mu + \tfrac{\xi}{2}B^a = 0. \tag{4}$$

In terms of the operator s, the BRST-non-invariance of the bosonic functionals M, m implies $(sM, sm) \neq (0, 0)$. For a vanishing M, we deal with a usual path integral and $Z \equiv Z_0(J, \phi^*)$.

The Ward identities for Z_M and EA (generating functional of vertex Green's functions), $\Gamma_M = \Gamma_M(\phi, \phi^*)$, introduced via a Legendre transformation of $\frac{\hbar}{\imath}\ln Z_M$ with respect to J_A: $\Gamma_M = \frac{\hbar}{\imath}\ln Z_M - J\phi$ for $\phi = \frac{\hbar}{\imath}(\delta \ln Z_M)/(\delta J)$ have the form

$$\Big(J_A + M_A\big(\tfrac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\tfrac{\delta}{\delta J}, \phi^*\big)\Big)\left(\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^*_A} \;\; - \;\; M^{A*}\big(\tfrac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\tfrac{\delta}{\delta J}, \phi^*\big)\right)Z_M = 0, \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_M}{\delta\phi^A}\frac{\delta\Gamma_M}{\delta\phi^*_A} \;\; = \frac{\delta\Gamma_M}{\delta\phi^A}\widehat{M}^{A*} + \widehat{M}_A\frac{\delta\Gamma_M}{\delta\phi^*_A} - \widehat{M}_A\widehat{M}^{A*} \; . \tag{6}$$

where the notation $\big(M_A, M^{A*}\big)\big(\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\frac{\delta}{\delta J}, \phi^*\big) \equiv \Big(\frac{\delta M}{\delta\phi^A}, \frac{\delta M}{\delta\phi^*_A}\Big)\Big|_{\phi\to\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\frac{\delta}{\delta J}}$, and $\big(\widehat{M}_A, \widehat{M}^{A*}\big) \equiv \big(M_A, M^{A*}\big)\big|_{\phi\to\widehat{\phi}}$ has been used in accordance with [14], [15], [18], with operator-valued fields

$$\widehat{\phi}^A \;\; = \phi^A + \imath\hbar\,(\Gamma^{\prime\prime -1}_M)^{AB}\frac{\delta_l}{\delta\phi^B}, \;\; \texttt{with} \;\; (\Gamma^{\prime\prime -1}_M)^{AC}(\Gamma^{\prime\prime}_M)_{CB} = \delta^A_{\;B}, \;\; (\Gamma^{\prime\prime}_M)_{AB} \;\; = \;\; \frac{\delta_l}{\delta\phi^A}\Big(\frac{\delta\Gamma_M}{\delta\phi^B}\Big). \tag{7}$$

In obtaining (5), (6), we have not utilized the BRST symmetry breaking equation [14], $M_A, M^{A*} = 0$, In turn, the result for the gauge-dependence of Z_M, Γ_M can be presented as follows, for $\delta\psi = \frac{\xi}{2}\overline{C}^aB^a$,

$$\delta Z_M = \frac{\imath}{\hbar}\Big[\big(J_A + M_A(\tfrac{\hbar}{\imath}\tfrac{\delta}{\delta J}, \phi^*)\big)\Big(\tfrac{\delta}{\delta\phi^*_A} - \tfrac{\imath}{\hbar}M^{A*}(\tfrac{\hbar}{\imath}\tfrac{\delta}{\delta J}, \phi^*)\Big)\delta\psi(\tfrac{\hbar}{\imath}\tfrac{\delta}{\delta J}) + \delta M(\tfrac{\hbar}{\imath}\tfrac{\delta}{\delta J}, \phi^*)\Big]Z_M, \tag{8}$$

$$\delta\Gamma_M \;\; = \frac{\delta\Gamma_M}{\delta\phi^A}\widehat{F}^A_M\,\widehat{\delta\Psi} \;\; - \;\; \widehat{M}_A\widehat{F}^A_M\widehat{\delta\psi} \;\; + \;\; \widehat{\delta M} \; , \tag{9}$$

$$\texttt{for} \;\; \widehat{F}^A_M \equiv -\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^*_A} \;\; + \;\; (-1)^{\varepsilon_B(\varepsilon_A+1)}(\Gamma^{\prime\prime -1}_M)^{BC}\Big(\frac{\delta_l}{\delta\phi^C}\frac{\delta\Gamma_M}{\delta\phi^*_A}\Big)\frac{\delta_l}{\delta\phi^B} \;\; \texttt{and} \;\; \varepsilon_A \equiv \varepsilon(\phi^A). \tag{10}$$

On the extremals, $\big(J_A, \delta\Gamma_M/\delta\phi^A\big) = 0$, respectively, for Z_M, Γ_M, the corresponding variations are vanishing, $\big(\delta Z_M\Big|_{J=0}, \delta\Gamma_M\Big|_{\Gamma_{MA}=0}\big) = 0$, provided that the gauge variation $\psi \to \psi + \delta\psi$ affects not only the BRST exact part of the action, changed by the term $s\delta\psi$, but also the functional, M, $\delta M = -(sM)\frac{\imath}{\hbar}\delta\psi$, which was shown in [18]. Now, we are in a position to apply these results to a special choice of the BRST symmetry breaking term, when $M = H(A)$.

3 Gauge-independence in the Gribov–Zwanziger Theory

In the case of the GZ theory, the Gribov horizon functional $H(A)$ in the Landau gauge $\psi_0(\phi)$, determined by Eq. (4) for $\xi = 0$ [9] and its Slavnov variation in a non-local formulation read

$$H(A) = \gamma^2 \left(f^{abc} A^b_\mu (K^{-1})^{ad} f^{dec} A^{e\mu} \; + \; D(N^2-1) \right) , \texttt{for} (K^{-1})^{ad} (K)^{db} = \delta^{ab} \quad (11)$$

$$sH = \gamma^2 f^{abc} f^{cde} \left[2 D^{bq}_\mu C^q (K^{-1})^{ad} - f^{mpn} A^b_\mu (K^{-1})^{am} K^{pq} C^q (K^{-1})^{nd} \right] A^{e\mu}, \quad (12)$$

with a thermodynamic Gribov parameter, γ, determined in a self-consistent way, by using the gap equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \left(\frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}} \ln Z_H(0,0) \right) = 0$. The Ward identities for $Z_H(J,0)$ and EA, $\Gamma_H(\phi,0)$, are readily obtained from Eqs. (5) and (6) as follows:

$$J_A \langle s\phi^A \rangle + \langle H_{\mu a} s A^{\mu a} \rangle = 0, \;\; \texttt{where} \;\; \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = Z_H^{-1}(J,0) \mathcal{O} \left(\tfrac{\hbar}{\imath} \tfrac{\delta}{\delta J} \right) Z_H(J,0), \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\delta \Gamma_H(\phi,0)}{\delta \phi^A} s\phi^A (\widehat{\phi}) \; = \widehat{H}_{\mu a} \cdot s A^{\mu a} (\widehat{\phi}) \;\; \texttt{where} \;\; H_{\mu a}(A) = \frac{\delta H}{\delta A^{\mu a}}, \quad (14)$$

with the use of the notation of Section 2.

Eqs. (13), (14), together with the representations (8), (9), (10), permit one to obtain the variations for $Z_H(J,0)$ and $\Gamma_H(\phi,0)$ as

$$\delta Z_H = \left(\frac{\imath}{\hbar} \right)^2 \left(J_A \langle (s\phi^A) \delta\psi \rangle + \langle H_{\mu a} (s A^{\mu a}) \delta\psi \rangle + \frac{\hbar}{\imath} \langle \delta H \rangle \right) Z_H, \quad (15)$$

$$\delta \Gamma_H \; = \frac{\delta \Gamma_H}{\delta \phi^A} \widehat{F}^A_H \langle \delta \Psi \rangle \big|_{\phi^* = 0} \; - \; \widehat{H}_{\mu a} \widehat{F}^{\mu a}_H \widehat{\delta \psi} \big|_{\phi^* = 0} \; + \; \widehat{\delta H} \; . \quad (16)$$

The gauge variation $\delta G(A)$ induced by the variation $\delta\psi$ of a functional $G(A)$ defined in the configuration space of the YM fields can be presented by the gauge transformation with parameters ξ^b constructing from $\delta\psi$, as follows:

$$G(A) \to G(A) + \delta G(A) = G(A) + G_{\mu a} D^{\mu ab} \xi^b, \;\; \texttt{for} \;\; \xi^b = -\frac{\imath}{\hbar} C^b \delta\psi, \quad (17)$$

which was, in fact, shown for the first time with the help of field-dependent BRST transformations in [19]. It is obvious from Eqs. (15) and (16) that on the mass shell we have, $\delta Z_H \big|_{J=0} = 0$, $\delta \Gamma_H \big|_{\Gamma_{HA}=0} = 0$. As a by-product, the representation for $H(A)$ in a new gauge reference frame, $\psi_0 + \delta\psi$, not necessarily related by infinitesimal gauge variation, follows from Eq. (17) for $G = H$.

4 A Composite Field Representation for the Gribov Horizon Functional

Since unitary cannot be verified explicitly, due to the BRST non-invariance of the action with the $M(\phi, \phi^*)$ $[H(A)]$ term for the model with Z_M (1), in particular, for the GZ model with a non-local $H(A)$ (11)[1] we will treat these term as a composite field, $\sigma(A) = H(A)$.

[1]For a local representation of the Gribov horizon functional in different gauges and the study of gauge dependence in Section 3, see [19], [18]

In doing so, we define a generating functional of Green's functions with composite fields, by the relation $Z_{L\sigma} = Z_M$,

$$Z(J,\phi^*,L) = Z_{L\sigma}(J,\phi^*) = \int d\phi \exp\Big\{\frac{\imath}{\hbar}\big(S_0(A) + s\psi + \phi^*_A s\phi^A + L_m\sigma^m(\phi) + J_A\phi^A\big)\Big\}. \quad (18)$$

with sources L_m, $\varepsilon(L_m) = 0$ for σ^m. Making a restriction to the case $m = 1$, we introduce an EA, $\Gamma(\phi,\phi^*,\Sigma) \equiv \Gamma_\Sigma$, with a composite field via a Legendre transformation of $\ln Z_{L\sigma}$ w.r.t. J, L by the relation, as we follow [20],

$$\Gamma_\Sigma = \frac{\hbar}{\imath}\ln Z_{L\sigma} - J\phi - L(\Sigma + \sigma(\phi)) \ \texttt{for} \ \phi^A = \frac{\hbar}{\imath}\frac{\delta \ln Z_{L\sigma}}{\delta J_A} \ \texttt{and} \ \Sigma = \frac{\hbar}{\imath}\frac{\delta \ln Z_{L\sigma}}{\delta\sigma} - \sigma(\phi), \quad (19)$$

$$\texttt{where} \ (J_A, L) = \left(-\frac{\delta\Gamma_\Sigma}{\delta\phi^A} + \frac{\delta\Gamma_\Sigma}{\delta\Sigma}\frac{\delta\sigma(\phi)}{\delta\phi^A}, -\frac{\delta\Gamma_\Sigma}{\delta\Sigma}\right) \equiv \mathcal{N}_\alpha \ \texttt{and} \ \Phi^\alpha \equiv (\phi^A, \Sigma). \quad (20)$$

Note that the tree approximation for Γ_Σ in the loop expansion $\Gamma_\Sigma = \sum_n \hbar\Gamma^{(n)}_\Sigma$ coincides with the FP action, $\Gamma^{(0)}_\Sigma = S_0 + s\psi + \phi^* s\phi$, providing the influence of the composite field beyond the tree level. Secondly, the boundary conditions for $L = 0$, $Z(J,\phi^*,0) = Z_0(J,\phi^*)$, and for $L = 1$, $Z(J,\phi^*,1) = Z_H(J,\phi^*)$, are fulfilled.

The Ward identities for $Z_{L\sigma}(J,0)$ and Γ_Σ assume the form, inherited from (13), (14),

$$J_A\langle s\phi^A\rangle_L + L\langle H_{\mu a} sA^{\mu a}\rangle_L = 0, \ \texttt{for} \ \langle\mathcal{O}\rangle_L = Z^{-1}_{L\sigma}(J,0)\mathcal{O}\big(\tfrac{\hbar}{\imath}\tfrac{\delta}{\delta J}\big)Z_{L\sigma}(J,0), \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma(\phi,0,\Sigma)}{\delta\phi^A}s\phi^A(\widehat{\phi}) \ = -\frac{\delta\Gamma(\phi,0,\Sigma)}{\delta\Sigma}\Big(\widehat{H}_{\mu a} - H_{\mu a}(\phi)\Big)\cdot sA^{\mu a}(\widehat{\phi}). \quad (22)$$

Again, with the use of these relations the study of gauge dependence for $Z_{L\sigma}(J,0)$ and Γ_Σ looks as follows:

$$\delta Z_{L\sigma} = \Big(\frac{\imath}{\hbar}\Big)^2\Big(J_A\langle(s\phi^A)\delta\psi\rangle_L + L\Big\{\langle H_{\mu a}(sA^{\mu a})\delta\psi\rangle_L + \frac{\hbar}{\imath}\langle\delta H\rangle_L\Big\}\Big)Z_{L\sigma}, \quad (23)$$

$$\delta\Gamma_\Sigma \ = \frac{\delta\Gamma_\Sigma}{\delta\phi^A}\widehat{F}^A_{L\sigma}\,\langle\delta\Psi\rangle\big|_{\phi^*=0} \ - \ \frac{\delta\Gamma_\Sigma}{\delta\Sigma}\Big\{\widehat{\delta H} \ - \ \big(\widehat{H}_{\mu a} - H_{\mu a}(A)\big)\widehat{F}^{\mu a}_{L\sigma}\widehat{\delta\psi}\big|_{\phi^*=0}\Big\} \quad (24)$$

$$\texttt{for} \ \widehat{F}^{\mu a}_{L\sigma} \equiv -\frac{\delta}{\delta A^*_{\mu a}} \ + \ (-1)^{\varepsilon_\beta}(K''^{-1})^{\beta\alpha}\Big(\frac{\delta_l}{\delta\Phi^\alpha}\frac{\delta\Gamma_\Sigma}{\delta A^*_{\mu a}}\Big)\frac{\delta_l}{\delta\Phi^\beta} \ \texttt{and} \ (K'')_{\beta\alpha} = \frac{\delta\mathcal{N}_\alpha}{\delta\Phi^\beta}, \quad (25)$$

where we have used Eqs. (18)–(20) and Ward identities (21), (22). Note that in the above representations for $\delta Z_{L\sigma}$, $\delta\Gamma_\Sigma$ we have taken into account the variation of the composite field itself (cf. [21], [22]).

We finally state that on the mass shell determined by $J_A = 0$ and $(\delta\Gamma_\Sigma)/(\delta\phi^A = 0$, in view of the transformation of $H(A)$ under a gauge variation $\delta\psi$, the generating functional $Z_{L\sigma}(J,0)$ and the EA, $\Gamma_\Sigma(\phi,0\Sigma)$, respectively, do not depend on a choice of the gauge for any values of the source L and the additional extremal $(\delta\Gamma_\Sigma)/(\delta\Sigma$, thus providing the gauge independence of the S-matrix.

Concluding, notice that one may use the latter concept for the Gribov horizon functional as a composite field in the study of renormalizability and unitarity of the GZ theory starting from a specific gauge, e.g. Landau gauge, in order to investigate the consistency of the composite field approach with soft BRST symmetry breaking in YM theories.

Acknowledgements The author is thankful to the organizers of the International Workshop SQS'13 for the hospitality, to V.P. Gusynin, R. Metsaev, P. Moshin for interest and discussions, to I.V. Tyutin for discussion of the unitarity problem, to Sh. Gongyo for useful correspondence. The study was supported by the RFBR grant Nr. 12-02-00121 and by LRSS grant Nr. 88.2014.2.

References

[1] Becchi C., Rouet A., Stora R. Renormalization of the abelian Higgs-Kibble model // Commun. Math. Phys. 1975 V. 42 p. 127-162;

Tyutin I.V. Gauge invariance in field theory and statistical physics in operator formalism // Lebedev Inst. preprint 1975 N 39, [arXiv:0812.0580[hep-th]].

[2] Henneaux M., Teitelboim C. Quantization of gauge systems. Princeton: University Press, 1992;

Weinberg S. The quantum theory of fields, Vol. II. Cambridge: University Press, 1996;

Gitman D.M., Tyutin I.V. Quantization of fields with constraints. Berlin: Springer, 1990.

[3] Bogolubsky I.L., Ilgenfritz E.M., Muller-Preussker M., Sternbeck A. Lattice gluodynamics computation of Landau gauge Green's functions in the deep infrared // Phys. Lett. B 2009 V. 676 p.69-73, arXiv:0901.0736[hep-lat].

[4] V.G. Bornyakov, V.K. Mitrushkin and R.N. Rogalyov, *Gluon propagators in 3D SU(2) theory and effects of Gribov copies*, arXiv:1112.4975[hep-lat].

[5] Gribov V.N. Quantization of nonabelian gauge theories // Nucl. Phys. B 1978 V. 139 p. 1-19.

[6] Singer I.M. Some remarks on the Gribov ambiguity // Comm. Math. Phys. 1978 V. 60 p. 7-12.

[7] Faddeev L.D., Popov V.N. Feynman diagrams for the Yang-Mills field // Phys. Lett. B 1967 V. 25 p. 29-30.

[8] Zwanziger D. Action from the Gribov horizon // Nucl. Phys. B 1989 V. 321 p. 591-604.

[9] Zwanziger D. Local and renormalizable action from the Gribov horizon // Nucl. Phys. B 1989 V. 323 p. 513-544.

[10] Capri M.A.L., Gómes A.J., Guimaraes M.S., Lemes V.E.R., Sorella S.P., Tedesko D.G. A remark on the BRST symmetry in the Gribov-Zwanzider theory // Phys. Rev. D82 (2010) 105019, arXiv:1009.4135 [hep-th].

[11] Sobreiro R.F., Sorella S.P. A study of the Gribov copies in linear covariant gauges in Euclidean Yang-Mills theories // JHEP 2005 V. 0506 054, arXiv:hep-th/0506165.

[12] Capri M.A.L., Lemes V.E.R., Sobreiro R.F., Sorella S.P., Thibes R. Influence of the Gribov copies on the gluon and ghost propagators in Euclidean Yang-Mills theory in the maximal Abelian gauge // Phys.Rev. D 2005 V. 72 085021 hep-th/0507052[hep-th]; Dudal D., Capri M.A.L., Gracey J.A. et al. Gribov Ambiguities in the Maximal Abelian Gauge // Braz. J. Phys. 37 (2007) p. 320-325, arXiv:1210.5651[hep-th]; Gongyo Sh., Iida H. Gribov-Zwanziger action in SU(2) Maximally Abelian Gauge with $U(1)_3$ Landau Gauge // Phys.Rev. D 2014 V.89 025022, arXiv:1310.4877 [hep-th].

[13] DeWitt B.S. Dynamical theory of groups and fields N.Y.: Gordon and Breach, 1965.

[14] Lavrov P., Lechtenfeld O., Reshetnyak A. Is soft breaking of BRST symmetry consistent? // JHEP 2011 V. 1110 043, arXiv:1108.4820 [hep-th].

[15] Lavrov P., Radchenko O., Reshetnyak A. Soft breaking of BRST symmetry and gauge dependence // Mod. Phys. Lett. A 2012 V. 27 1250067, arXiv:1201.4720 [hep-th].

[16] Kugo T., Ojima I. Local Covariant Operator Formalism of Non-Abelian Gauge Theories and Quark Confinement Problem // Progr. Theor. Phys, Suppl. 1979. V. 66. P. 1-130.

[17] Batalin I.A., Vilkovisky G.A. Gauge algebra and quantization // Phys. Lett. B 1981 V. 102 p.27-31.

[18] Reshetnyak A. On Gauge Independence for Gauge Models with Soft Breaking of BRST Symmetry // Preprint arXiv:1312.2092[hep-th].

[19] Lavrov P., Lechtenfeld O. Field-dependent BRST transformations in Yang-Mills theory // Phys.Lett. B 2013 V. 725 p.382-385, arXiv:1305.0712[hep-th]; Lavrov P., Lechtenfeld O. Gribov horizon beyond the Landau gauge // Phys.Lett. B 2013 V. 725 p.386-388, arXiv:1305.2931[hep-th].

[20] Cornwall J.M., Jackiw R., Tomboulis E. Effective action for composite operators // Phys. Rev. D 1974 V. 10 p. 2428-2445.

[21] Lavrov P.M., Odintsov S.D. The Gauge Dependence of the Effective Action of Composite Fields in General Gauge Theories // Int.J.Mod.Phys. 1989 V. 4 p. 5205-5212.

[22] Lavrov P.M., Odintsov S.D., Reshetnyak A.A. Effective action of composite fields for general gauge theories in BLT covariant formalism // J. Math. Phys. 1997 V. 38 p. 3466-3478, [arXiv:hep-th/9604061].